# Weather Radar Data Quality Monitoring using Operational Observations

VAISALA

Dirk Klugmann<sup>1</sup>, Jordan Santillo<sup>1</sup>, Robinson Wallace<sup>2</sup>, Juha Salmivaara<sup>1</sup>, Pekka Puhakka<sup>1</sup> <sup>1</sup>Vaisala Oyj, Vantaa, Finland <sup>2</sup>Vaisala Inc, Boulder, CO, USA

#### Contents

- 1. Introduction / Motivation
- 2. Method
- 3. Examples
- 4. WR Data Quality Assessment of Kerava WR pre / post Magnetron Replacement
  - 1. ZDR Calibration
  - 2. WR Data Quality Assessment
- 5. Conclusions and Outlook
- 6. Summary





# Introduction / Motivation

## **Introduction / Motivation**

Monitoring data quality of Weather Radar (WR) observations crucial for:

- Assuring quality of direct observables and derived products.
- Informing subsequent use of observables and products.
  - Example: weight given to observations assimilated into NWP models.

Monitoring data quality of WR observations supports adaptive approach to WR maintenance.

- Adapt maintenance activities to state of individual WRs.
  - Replaces fixed schedule.
- Supported by Artificial Intelligence / Machine Learning.



#### **Introduction / Motivation**

- Looking for assessment method (nearly) exclusively using Weather Radar Observations.
  - Alternative: reference sensors, e.g. Vaisala
    FD70 (Marbouti et al.).
- Avoid interruption of operational observations.
  - Birdbath scan, external WR calibration, ...

- Observations from Vaisala Research WRs located in Helsinki Capital Region:
  - WRM200 at Kerava;
  - WRS300 at Kumpula;
  - WRS400 at Vaisala HQ.
- Observations from FMI operational WRM200 WR at Vihti.
- Examples created with Python code.



# Method

#### Method – Observables Quality Evaluation

- 1. Identify Melting Layer Height (MLH) and its range.
  - Using external information (e.g. Radiosonde) or Radar observations.
- 2. Select data from ranges closer than MLH range.
- 3. Mask data for  $Z_h$ , e.g.10 dBZ  $\leq Z_h \leq$  20 dBZ.
  - Other ranges for  $Z_h$  possible, e.g. 20 dBZ  $\leq Z_h \leq 40$  dBZ.
- 4. Mask data for  $Z_{DR}$ , e.g.  $|Z_{DR}| \le 0.5$ .
  - More stringent masking for Z<sub>DR</sub> possible, e.g. |Z<sub>DR</sub>| ≤ 0.1, if enough observations available requirement: meaningful statistics!
- 5. Calculate statistics for observables and fit theoretical functions.



#### **Method – Fit Functions**

• Fit function for **correlation coefficient**  $\rho_{HV}$  takes the form:

$$f(x) = \frac{a}{\sqrt{2\pi} \cdot \sigma \cdot x} \cdot e^{\frac{(\log(x) - \mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}}$$

with  $x = 1 - \rho_{HV}$ .

• Fit function of **differential phase**  $\Phi_{DP}$  takes the form:  $f(x) = a \cdot (s \cdot x)^{\mu} \cdot e^{-\lambda}$ 

with  $x = \Phi_{DP} - {}^{max}\Phi_{DP} + 10^{\circ}$ , where  ${}^{max}\Phi_{DP}$  denotes distribution's maximum, *a* is an amplitude factor, *s* a stretch factor,  $\mu$  and  $\lambda$  are shape parameters.



#### **Method – Fit Functions**

1.0

Oumulative P

0.2

0.0

Ш

S Distr. 9.0 Freq.

#### Reversed log-normal fit for $\rho_{HV}$

#### 2500 Log-normal fit (peak at $\rho = 0.9885$ ) $\mu = 5.2926 / \sigma = 0.3944$ ± 0.5dB) Cumulative Freq. Distr $10dBZ \leq Z_{2} \leq 20dBZ$ 2000 $\Delta ZDR = \pm 0.5 dB / n_{tot} = 27502$ Ш K10231011050005 ≤ 20dBZ / ∆ZDR 10dBZ ≤ Z<sub>0</sub> ≤ 20dBZ Elevat.: 0.6 \* / ΔZDR = ± 0.5dB 1500 ×Z 1000 Occurrence (10dBZ 500 0 0.960 0.965 0.970 0.975 0.980 0.985 0.990 0.995 1 0 0 0 DHV Log-normal fit (peak at $\rho = 0.9885$ ) $\mu = 5.2649 / \sigma = 0.3515$ 1200 10 (gp) Cumulative Freq. Distr. $20dBZ \le Z_1 \le 40dBZ$ $\Delta ZDR = \pm 0.5 dB / n_{tot} = 13003$ +11000 K10231011050005 0.8 🚊 AZDR $20dBZ \leq Z_{2} \leq 40dBZ$ Elevat.: 0.6 ° / ΔZDR = ± 0.5dB 800 ZBb0t ñ 600 Ň (20dBZ 04 400 200 ŏ

0.960

0.965

0.970

0.975

0.980

DHU

0.985

0.990

0.995

1 000

#### Stretched $\Gamma$ fit for $\Phi_{DP}$



• Alternative fit function for differential phase  $\Phi_{DP}$ :  $f(x) = \frac{\pi \cdot \gamma}{1 + \left(\frac{x - x_0}{\gamma}\right)^2}$ 

(Lorentz function).

Also used for fitting distributions of *ZDR*.







# Examples

#### **Examples**

- Method implemented in Python code using PyART<sup>1</sup>.
- Observations from Vaisala Research WRs and one operational FMI WR in wider Helsinki Capital Region.
  - WRM200 at Kerava & Vihti (FMI operational);
  - WRS300 at Kumpula;
  - WRS400 at Vaisala HQ.
  - All WRs within 50km.

<sup>1</sup>JJ Helmus and SM Collis, JORS 2016, doi: 10.5334/jors.119



#### **Examples – Input Observations**



#### **Example – Assessment FMI Vihti WR**

Reversed log-normal fit for  $\rho_{HV}$ 

Stretched  $\Gamma$  fit for  $\Phi_{DP}$ 





#### **Example – Assessment Kumpula WR**

Reversed log-normal fit for  $\rho_{HV}$ 

Stretched  $\Gamma$  fit for  $\Phi_{DP}$ 







# Kerava WRM200 Research WR Data Quality

## **ZDR Calibation**



### **ZDR Calibration**

- Research WR at Kerava recently updated with new Magnetron.
  - Modern up-to-date design.
- Birdbath calibration not allowed due to vicinity of HEL Airport.
  - Necessitates alternative ZDR calibration approach.



#### **ZDR Calibration**



**WR Data Quality** 



#### WR Data Quality

#### Old Magnetron – 18/10/2022 New Magnetron – 11/10/2023





#### WR Data Quality – $\varrho_{\rm HV}$

#### Old Magnetron – 18/10/2022 New Magnetron – 11/10/2023

Clear improvement of distribution width with new magnetron.



Distribution more narrow, contribution of  $\rho_{HV} < 0.96$  much lower. Optimisation ongoing to push new magnetron peak to  $\rho_{HV} > 0.99$ .

#### WR Data Quality – $\phi_{\rm DP}$

#### Old Magnetron – 18/10/2022 New Magnetron – 11/10/2023

Clear improvement of distribution width with new magnetron.



Distribution for  $\phi_{DP} > {}^{\max}\phi_{DP}$  might be influenced by weather. Distribution for  $\phi_{DP} < {}^{\max}\phi_{DP}$  strictly due to Magnetron quality.



# Conclusions

#### Conclusions

- Quality assessment method using operational observations demonstrated with Python code.
- Useful for monitoring / adjusting ZDR calibration.
  - Peak of ZDR distribution for moderate values of Z<sub>h</sub> good indicator for offset.
  - Improvement of ZDR calibration.

- Clear indication of difference in WR data quality.
- Multiple indicators for  $\rho_{\rm HV}$ .
  - Width of distribution;
  - Cumulative contribution of  $\rho_{\rm HV} < 0.96$ .
- Indication for  $\phi_{\rm DP}$ : width of distribution.
  - Indicated by stretch factor and Λ parameter.



# Outlook

#### Outlook

- Extention over multiple scans.
  - Larger sample size allows more stringent restrictions on data.
- Option to develop automated tool.
  - Ingest external observations.
  - Apply WRinternal observations or products.
    - Hydroclass, polarimetric observables.

- Adaptive calibration and maintenance.
  - Utilise output to schedule activities flexibly.



# Summary

## Summary

- Quality assessment method using operational observations demonstrated with Python code.
  - Clear indication of difference in WR data quality.
  - Allows comparison and assessment.
- Allows continuously monitoring and adjusting ZDR calibration.
- Extension of statistics over multiple scans.
  - Larger sample size allows more stringent restrictions on data.

- Option to develop automated tool.
  - Ingest auxiliary external observations.
  - Apply WR-internal observations or products.
    - Hydroclass, polarimetric observables.
- Allows adaptive calibration and maintenance.
  - Utilise output to schedule activities flexibly.
  - Might be supported by AI / ML.



# Thank you for your attention!

